IN THE HIGH COURT OF KERALA AT ERNAKULAM

PRESENT :

THE HONOURABLE MR. JUSTICE A.K.BASHEER

TUESDAY, THE 14TH AUGUST 2007 / 23RD SRAVANA 1929

WP(C).No. 13834 of 2007(V)

PETITIONER:

PAULOSE M.O.,
ASSISTANT DIRECTOR,
REGIONAL DIRECTORATE OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION,
KOTHAMANGALAM.

BY ADV. SRI.V.AJITH NARAYANAN

RESPONDENTS:

---

1. THE DIRECTOR OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION,
DIRECTORATE OF TECHNICAL EDUCATION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-23.

2. THE PRINCIPAL SECRETARY TO GOVERNMENT,
HIGHER EDUCTION DEPARTMENT,
GOVT. SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

3. SENIOR JOINT DIRECTOR (P.S.),
DIRECTORATE OF TECHNICAL EDUCTION,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM-23.

4. DEPUTY SECRETARY,
HIGHER EDUCATION (L) DEPARTMENT,
GOVT. SECRETARIAT, THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

5. STATE OF KERALA,
REPRESENTED BY THE CHIEF SECRETARY,
THIRUVANANTHAPURAM.

BY GOVT.PLEADER SMT.M.R.SREELATHA.

THIS WRIT PETITION (CIVIL) HAVING BEEN FINALLY HEARD ON 14/08/2007, THE COURT ON THE SAME DAY DELIVERED THE FOLLOWING:

APPENDIX (WP.13834/2007)

EXT.P1: TRUE COPY OF RELEVANT PAGES OF FINAL GRADATION LIST.

EXT.P2: TRUE COPY OF GOVT. CIRCULAR DT. 8.8.2001.

EXT.P3: DO. DT. 6/11/92.

EXT.P4: TRUE COPY OF ORDER DT. 17/2/2004 OF FIRST RESPONDENT.

EXT.P5: TRUE COPY OF ORDER DT. 6/5/2004.

EXT.P6: TRUE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DT. 29/6/2004.

EXT.P7: TRUE COPY OF ORDER DT. 30/7/2004.

EXT.P8: TRUE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DT. 23/12/2004.

EXT.P9: TRUE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DT. 2/9/2005.

EXT.P10: TRUE COPY OF DO. DTL. 6/11/06.

EXT.P11: TRUE COPY OF JUDGMENT DT. 12/12/06 IN WP.32205/06.

EXT.P12: TRUE COPY OF GOVT. LETTER DT. 5/3/2007.

EXT.P13: TRUE COPY OF ARGUMENT NOTE BY PETR.

EXT.P14: TRUE COPY OF GOVT. ORDER DT. 24/3/2007.

EXT.P15: TRUE COPY OF REPRESENTATION DT. 24/7/2007.

A.K. Basheer, J.

- -

W.P(C) No. 13834 of 2007

- -

Dated this the 14th day of August, 2007.

J U D G M E N T

Petitioner is presently working as Assistant Director at the Regional Directorate of Technical Education, Kothamangalam. His grievance appears to be that he has been denied time bound higher grade in the pay scale of Rs.2060-3200 (pre-revised) with effect from January 16, 1995. He has a further grievance that many of his juniors are drawing salary at a higher scale. Various other contentions have also been raised by the petitioner in this writ petition in support of his plea that a discriminatory treatment has been meted out to him. Anyhow, I do not propose to deal with any of the contentions raised by the petitioner at this stage, in view of the limited prayer made by learned counsel for the petitioner at the Bar. Learned counsel submits that petitioner has preferred Ext.P15 representation before respondent No.2 highlighting all the relevant aspects of the issue. The limited prayer is to issue a direction to the authority concerned to take a decision on Ext.P15 expeditiously.

2. In the above facts and circumstances the writ petition is disposed of with a direction to respondent No.2 to consider and pass orders on Ext.P15 strictly on its merit and in accordance with law, as expeditiously as possible, at any rate within two months from the date of receipt of a copy of this judgment. Needless to mention that petitioner and all others who are likely to be affected by the order, shall be afforded sufficient opportunity to be heard before any decision is taken in the matter.

Petitioner shall produce a certified copy of the judgment along with a copy of the writ petition before respondent No.2 for compliance.

an. **A.K. Basheer, Judge.**